



---

**Stained Calcutta: Revolutionary Violence, Memory, and the Weight of History in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Lowland***

**Mahananda Biswas**

**Research Scholar in English, Department of English and Foreign Languages, Central University of Haryana, Mahendragarh, Haryana, India**

---

**Abstract**

Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Lowland* (2013) is one of the most historically ambitious works of South Asian diasporic fiction produced in the twenty-first century. Set between the waterlogged lanes of Tollygunge in south Calcutta and the academic corridors of Rhode Island, the novel weaves together the catastrophic political violence of the Naxalite movement of the late 1960s with the private histories of loss, guilt, exile, and inherited trauma that extend across three generations of a Bengali family. This paper offers a sustained multi-theoretical analysis of *The Lowland* under the central theme of revolutionary violence, memory, and the weight of history. Drawing on trauma theory, postcolonial criticism, feminist and gender theory, Marxist and political philosophy, psychoanalytic frameworks, postmemory studies, New Historicism, narratology, and the ethics of witnessing, the paper argues that Lahiri's novel stages a profound interrogation of the relationship between political idealism and personal devastation, between collective history and private grief, and between the land one leaves and the self one becomes in exile. The lowland of the title - a literal, waterlogged field in Tollygunge - functions as the novel's central symbolic and epistemological space: a site of revolutionary burial, ancestral memory, and irreversible historical staining that no distance, geographical or temporal, can fully cleanse.

**Keywords:** Trauma, Naxalite, Violence, Postcolonial.

**I. Introduction: History as Ground, Ground as History**

There is a particular kind of novel that makes the reader feel the weight of history not as an abstraction - not as a sequence of dates and events - but as something lodged in the body, the soil, and the silences between people who once loved each other. Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Lowland* is such a novel. Published in 2013 and shortlisted for both the Man Booker Prize and the National Book Award, it is a work that moves with quiet devastation through five decades, two continents, and three generations, tracing the long aftermath of a single act of revolutionary violence in Calcutta in 1971.

The novel's two central figures are brothers: Subhash and Udayan Mitra, born thirteen months apart in Tollygunge, a south Calcutta neighbourhood characterised by its two ponds and the waterlogged field - the lowland - that lies between them. From childhood, the brothers are marked by temperamental difference: Subhash is cautious, contemplative, and oriented

toward survival, while Udayan is daring, politically passionate, and consumed by the revolutionary fervour of the Naxalite movement that swept through Bengal's youth in the late 1960s. When Udayan is executed by the police in the lowland behind his family's home - shot in front of his parents and his pregnant wife Gauri - the act of political violence becomes the gravitational centre around which all subsequent lives are organised. Subhash, living in Rhode Island, returns to Calcutta and marries Gauri, bringing her and her unborn daughter Bela to America. What follows is an extraordinary meditation on the consequences of this decision: for Gauri, who cannot love the child she associates with Udayan's death; for Bela, who grows up not knowing her true parentage; for Subhash, who loves both of them with an aching, unreciprocated fidelity; and for Udayan's ghost, which haunts every page.

The novel's title operates on multiple levels simultaneously. The lowland is a physical place - the marshy field in Tollygunge that the brothers played in as children, where Udayan hid weapons, and where he was finally killed. But it is also a metaphysical condition: the sunken, waterlogged terrain of trauma, guilt, and inherited grief that the novel's characters inhabit regardless of where they physically reside. To live in the lowland is to live under water - below the surface of ordinary life, weighted down by what cannot be said or known or fully mourned.

This paper applies the full range of contemporary critical and literary theory to *The Lowland*, not as an exercise in theoretical taxonomy but as a means of genuinely deepening our understanding of what the novel achieves and where its most provocative tensions lie. The aim is to demonstrate that *The Lowland* is not simply a family drama that happens to be set against a historical backdrop, but a novel whose formal and thematic choices constitute a sustained engagement with some of the most fundamental questions in literary, political, and ethical thought: the relationship between revolutionary violence and moral responsibility; the transmission of trauma across generations; the gendered dimensions of political martyrdom; and the question of whether - and how - individuals can live honestly in the shadow of a history they did not choose but cannot escape.

## II. Historical Grounding: The Naxalite Movement and Its Literary Afterlife

### 2.1 Naxalbari and the Calcutta of the Late 1960s

Any serious engagement with *The Lowland* must begin with history. The Naxalite movement - named after the village of Naxalbari in the Darjeeling district of West Bengal, where a peasant uprising in 1967 sparked a broader revolutionary challenge - was one of the most significant episodes of radical political violence in post-independence India. Inspired by Mao Zedong's revolutionary theory and fuelled by the perceived failures of parliamentary communism, the Naxalites - formally the Communist Party of India (Marxist-Leninist) - advocated armed struggle as the only means of dismantling the feudal and capitalist structures that perpetuated poverty and exploitation.

By the late 1960s and early 1970s, the movement had attracted significant support among Calcutta's students and intellectuals. Young men and women from middle-class Bengali families abandoned their studies and careers to join an armed revolutionary vanguard. The state's response was brutal: under Chief Minister Siddhartha Shankar Ray, and subsequently under the Emergency declared by Indira Gandhi, police and paramilitary forces conducted a campaign of counter-insurgency that killed thousands of young Naxalites, many of them in extrajudicial executions. Estimates of the dead range from several hundred to several thousand; exact figures remain disputed.

Lahiri's decision to set *The Lowland* against this specific historical background is

significant in multiple respects. The Naxalite movement has been the subject of considerable Bengali literary and cinematic attention - Mahasweta Devi's fiction, Mrinal Sen's films, and a substantial body of Bengali poetry engage with its violence and its idealism. But in English-language South Asian fiction, it has received comparatively little sustained treatment. By bringing the Naxalite period into the domain of Anglophone literary consciousness, Lahiri performs an act of historical recovery - insisting that this episode of revolutionary violence and state repression cannot remain confined to regional memory, but must be reckoned with on a global literary stage.

## **2.2 New Historicism and the Text's Historical Embeddedness**

Stephen Greenblatt's New Historicist methodology in *Renaissance Self-Fashioning: From More to Shakespeare* (1980) - which insists on reading literary texts as embedded within and constitutive of the discursive formations of their historical moment - is directly relevant to *The Lowland's* engagement with the Naxalite period. For Greenblatt, texts do not merely reflect history; they participate in the 'social energy' of their moment, circulating and negotiating ideological meanings that cannot be reduced to authorial intention.

Lahiri writes about the Naxalite period from a position of considerable historical and geographical distance. She is a second-generation Bengali-American, born in 1967 - the very year of the Naxalbari uprising - and the novel was written and published more than four decades after the events it depicts. This temporal and spatial distance is not a limitation but a condition of the novel's possibility: it allows Lahiri to approach the Naxalite period not as participant or witness but as a writer of what Marianne Hirsch calls postmemory - the inherited, mediated relationship to a past that one did not directly experience but that nonetheless shapes one's identity and imagination with the force of lived memory.

The novel's historical embeddedness is signalled not through didactic exposition but through the accumulation of period-specific detail: the pamphlets that Udayan distributes, the names of the Naxalite leaders invoked in conversation, the specific techniques of revolutionary violence that he and his comrades employ, and the precise geography of Tollygunge's streets. This density of historical particularity is, in Greenblatt's terms, an 'anecdotal' strategy: the particular, concrete detail that anchors the narrative in the texture of a specific historical moment and resists the universalising tendencies of sentimentality.

## **III. Trauma Theory and the Architecture of Grief**

### **3.1 Cathy Caruth and the Wound That Cannot Be Healed**

Cathy Caruth's foundational work in trauma theory - particularly her argument in *Unclaimed Experience* (1996) that trauma is characterised by its resistance to direct representation, its tendency to return in fragmented, belated, and indirect forms - provides an essential framework for reading *The Lowland's* formal and narrative choices. For Caruth, traumatic experience is not simply a painful event in the past; it is an event that was not fully experienced at the time of its occurrence and that therefore persists as an insistent, destabilising presence in the life of the traumatised subject.

Udayan's execution in the lowland is the novel's traumatic kernel - the event around which all other events orbit without ever being able to approach it directly. Significantly, Lahiri does not represent the execution at the moment of its occurrence; it is narrated retrospectively, through the perspectives of those who witnessed it, and it is never fully narrated in a single coherent account. The reader assembles the event from fragments - Gauri's partial account, the parents' speechless grief, Subhash's imagination of what he was not present to witness. This

fragmented, indirect mode of representation is not a stylistic eccentricity but a formal enactment of the traumatic structure of the event itself: it is an event that resists coherent narration because it was never coherently experienced.

The lowland itself - the physical site of the execution - functions as what Caruth calls the 'wound' of trauma: a geographical locus that concentrates and externalises the psychic damage inflicted by the event. When the novel's characters return, in imagination or in actuality, to the lowland, they are performing the traumatic compulsion to return - the impossibility of leaving behind what cannot be fully processed or mourned.

### 3.2 Marianne Hirsch and Postmemory

Marianne Hirsch's concept of postmemory in *Family Frames: Photography, Narrative, and Postmemory* (1997) - developed initially in relation to the children of Holocaust survivors but subsequently extended to other contexts of inherited historical trauma - is centrally relevant to *The Lowland's* treatment of the second and third generations' relationship to Udayan's death. Hirsch defines postmemory as a relationship to a traumatic past that is "so powerful, so overwhelming, so seemingly unrepresentable, yet so determining, that it seems to constitute memory itself, even though it was not directly experienced."

Bela Mitra - the daughter whom Gauri bears as Udayan's child but who is raised by Subhash as his own - is the novel's central figure of postmemory. She grows up in the shadow of a trauma she cannot name or locate, shaped by a history she does not know. Her mother's emotional unavailability, her father's compensatory tenderness, and the gap at the centre of the family's narrative - the story that cannot be told - produce in Bela a restlessness and an inability to put down roots that mirrors, without replicating, her parents' experience of exile and displacement. Bela's later life - her itinerant existence, her work as a sustainable farmer, her complicated relationship with her own daughter - is a postmemorial life: a life organised around the gravitational pull of a trauma that was not hers to suffer but that she has nonetheless inherited.

The novel's most devastating postmemorial moment is Bela's eventual discovery of the truth of her parentage. This revelation does not resolve the postmemorial condition; it merely gives it a name and an object. Bela cannot simply incorporate the knowledge of Udayan's existence and death into a coherent self-narrative, because the knowledge itself is traumatic - it retroactively destabilises the entire structure of her remembered life, revealing it to have been built on a foundation of loving, well-intentioned deception.

### 3.3 Paul Connerton: How Societies Remember

Paul Connerton's analysis of social memory - his argument in *How Societies Remember* (1989) that collective memory is maintained through embodied practices, commemorative ceremonies, and the transmission of shared narratives - illuminates the novel's treatment of the Mitra family's failure to adequately memorialise Udayan. Connerton distinguishes between commemorative ceremonies that successfully integrate traumatic events into a community's shared narrative and the suppression of memory that occurs when the trauma is too disruptive to be assimilated.

The Mitra family's response to Udayan's death is one of suppression rather than commemoration. His parents do not speak of him; Gauri cannot mourn him in any conventional sense; Subhash constructs a domestic life premised on the management rather than the acknowledgement of Udayan's memory. This suppression of social memory has direct consequences for the generation that follows: Bela grows up in a family that has lost the capacity for the kind of honest, commemorative storytelling that Connerton identifies as

necessary for the healthy transmission of historical experience. The weight of what cannot be said becomes, in the novel's logic, heavier than anything that could be spoken.

#### **IV. Marxist and Political Philosophy: Ideology, Violence, and the Ethics of Revolution**

##### **4.1 Revolutionary Violence and the Moral Economy of Naxalism**

*The Lowland* poses one of the most difficult questions that political philosophy confronts: under what conditions, if any, is revolutionary violence morally justifiable? Udayan's commitment to Naxalite violence is presented by Lahiri with extraordinary nuance - neither romanticised nor simply condemned. He is a figure of genuine political conviction, whose analysis of the structural causes of poverty and exploitation is shown to be essentially correct. The social conditions that the Naxalites sought to overturn - the feudal exploitation of peasants, the caste-based hierarchies of rural Bengal, the inadequacy of parliamentary politics to address entrenched structural injustice - are rendered with enough specificity in the novel to make Udayan's political diagnosis persuasive.

Yet the novel also insists on the moral costs of revolutionary violence. The specific act of violence that Udayan commits - the killing of a police officer who has himself participated in the torture and murder of Naxalite activists - is narrated with deliberate moral ambiguity. Udayan believes that he is acting in the service of justice; the novel neither confirms nor refutes this belief. What it does insist upon is the full weight of the consequences: not simply the death of Udayan himself, but the devastation visited upon those who loved him - his parents, his wife, his unborn child, and his brother.

Hannah Arendt's analysis of political violence in *On Violence* (1970) is illuminating here. Arendt distinguishes between violence - which she defines as instrumental, a means to an end - and power, which she associates with collective action and the generation of genuine political authority. Udayan's violence is, in Arendt's terms, an act of instrumental force - it aims to produce a political effect (the overthrow of an exploitative system) but it does not, in itself, generate the collective political power that genuine transformation requires. The novel's implicit verdict on Udayan's revolutionary violence is Arendtian in its logic: the violence achieves nothing politically while devastating everything personally.

##### **4.2 Frantz Fanon and the Psychology of Colonial Violence**

Frantz Fanon's theorisation of colonial violence - his argument in *The Wretched of the Earth* (1961) that revolutionary violence can function as a means of psychological liberation for the colonised, and that the violence of liberation must be understood in the context of the prior violence of colonial exploitation - provides a counter-weight to the Arendtian critique. For Fanon, the violence of the oppressed cannot be morally assessed in isolation from the structural violence that produces it. To condemn Udayan's participation in political violence without acknowledging the systemic violence of caste, class, and colonial legacies that the Naxalite movement sought to overturn is, from a Fanonian perspective, a form of ideological mystification.

The novel is careful not to reproduce this mystification. Lahiri gives full attention to the political context of Udayan's choices - the specific history of exploitation and state violence that the Naxalites were responding to. Yet she also insists on a perspective that Fanon's framework struggles to accommodate: the perspective of those who are destroyed not by the system but by the revolution - the wives, the parents, the children who bear the consequences of the revolutionary's choice without having been given the opportunity to make that choice themselves.

Gauri is the novel's most powerful embodiment of this perspective. She is a woman of exceptional intellectual ability and political awareness - she understands and in some sense shares Udayan's analysis of the system he sought to overturn. But her experience of revolutionary politics is one of exclusion and subordination: she is the wife, not the comrade; the bearer of the child, not the wielder of the weapon. The revolution that Udayan died for did not ask for her consent, and it did not survive to honour her sacrifice. Her subsequent abandonment of Subhash and Bela - her flight to a life of philosophical study in America - is, among other things, a form of belated revolutionary assertion: a refusal to accept the role of grieving widow and sacrificial mother that the political martyrdom narrative assigns to her.

## V. Feminist and Gender Theory: The Martyred Man and the Abandoned Woman

### 5.1 The Gendered Politics of Martyrdom

The political martyr is a gendered figure in almost every tradition of revolutionary politics. The revolutionary who dies for his cause is commemorated, mourned publicly, and incorporated into the mythology of the movement. The women who survive him - wives, mothers, daughters - are assigned supporting roles in this mythology: as preservers of the martyr's memory, as keepers of the domestic flame, as vessels for the transmission of his political legacy to the next generation. Their own subjectivity, their own grief, their own political consciousness are subordinated to the narrative requirements of martyrdom.

*The Lowland* systematically interrogates and dismantles this gendered structure of political commemoration. Gauri's experience of Udayan's death refuses the role that martyrdom mythology assigns to her. She does not want to become a living monument to Udayan's sacrifice; she wants to think, to philosophise, to pursue the intellectual life that her marriage and her pregnancy have deferred. Her rejection of the widow's role is not presented as selfishness but as a form of existential necessity: she cannot be the woman that Udayan's death requires her to be without destroying whatever capacity for authentic selfhood remains to her.

Simone de Beauvoir's analysis of the construction of femininity as the 'Other' - as the ground against which masculine subjectivity defines itself - resonates throughout Lahiri's treatment of Gauri's situation. De Beauvoir argued in her seminal work, *The Second Sex* (1949) that woman is historically defined in relation to man: as his complement, his helpmate, his domestic support. In *The Lowland*, this structure is given a specifically revolutionary inflection: Gauri is defined in relation to Udayan the revolutionary, and the death that defines him assigns her a role - the grieving widow, the custodian of his legacy - that she finds impossible to inhabit.

### 5.2 Motherhood, Refusal, and the Question of Gauri

Gauri's abandonment of Bela - her departure from the family home when Bela is twelve years old, to take up an academic position in California - is the novel's most morally charged event, and the one that has generated the most critical discussion. It is also the event that most directly confronts the reader with the question of female agency in conditions of extreme psychological and social constraint.

From a conventional humanist perspective, Gauri's abandonment of her child is an act of moral failure - perhaps the novel's most devastating one. But a feminist reading of *The Lowland* must resist the simplicity of this judgement. Gauri is a woman who has been defined, from the moment of Udayan's death, entirely in relation to others' needs: first as Udayan's widow, then as Subhash's wife, then as Bela's mother. The role of motherhood - with its demand for total self-subordination, its requirement that the mother's needs and desires be

permanently deferred in favour of the child's - is one that Gauri, given the specific circumstances of Bela's conception (as the product of a relationship that ended in violence and execution) and her own profound psychological damage, cannot sustain.

Adrienne Rich's distinction in *Of Woman Born* (1976) between motherhood as institution and motherhood as experience is useful here. Rich argues that the institution of motherhood - the social demand that women not merely bear children but sacrifice themselves entirely in their service - is distinct from, and often antithetical to, the actual experience of maternal love and connection. Gauri's failure is, in Rich's terms, a failure of the institution's demands rather than of maternal love itself: she is destroyed by what motherhood requires of her, given who she is and what she has experienced, rather than by any fundamental incapacity for love.

The novel's sympathy for Gauri is carefully calibrated. Lahiri does not excuse Gauri's abandonment of Bela; she renders its consequences - Bela's lifelong wound, Subhash's silent devastation - with unflinching specificity. But she also refuses to reduce Gauri to a villain, insisting on the full complexity of her psychological and political situation. This refusal is itself a feminist act: it demands that the reader hold the competing claims of maternal obligation and female selfhood in productive, unresolved tension, rather than resolving them through the comfortable condemnation of the bad mother.

## **VI. Postcolonial Theory: Nation, Diaspora, and the Politics of Belonging**

### **6.1 The Nation as Absent Presence**

Homi Bhabha's theorisation of the nation as a narrated community - his argument in *Nation and Narration* (1990) that national identity is not a fixed, natural given but a discursive construction maintained through the repetition of founding myths and cultural narratives - illuminates *The Lowland's* treatment of India and of Indian national identity in the diasporic context. For Bhabha, the nation is always internally divided, always haunted by the suppressed histories and dissenting voices that the official national narrative cannot accommodate.

The Naxalite movement was, among other things, an assault on the Indian national narrative - a refusal of the Nehruvian consensus that parliamentary democracy and planned development would eventually deliver justice to India's poorest citizens. Udayan's political commitment is, in Bhabhian terms, an insistence on the nation's suppressed underside: the poverty, the exploitation, and the violence that the official narrative of national progress refuses to acknowledge. His execution by the state is the nation's violent reassertion of its official narrative against the challenge of the dissenting voice.

Subhash's relationship to India from his position of diaspora is equally complex. He does not leave India for political reasons, but the political violence that destroys his brother determines the terms of his departure: he goes to Rhode Island initially to pursue graduate studies, but Udayan's death makes it impossible to return, because to return would be to inhabit the geography of irreparable loss. The India that Subhash carries within him in diaspora is not the nation-state of contemporary political reality but a landscape of childhood memory - the two ponds, the lowland, the narrow lanes of Tollygunge - that is itself defined by the event that has permanently altered it.

### **6.2 Stuart Hall and Diasporic Identity**

Stuart Hall's theorisation of diasporic cultural identity - his argument that diaspora identity is not a fixed origin that one carries away from home but an ongoing production, a positioning

that is always in process - is directly relevant to *The Lowland's* treatment of the characters' experience of cultural and national belonging. Hall insists in his essay, "Cultural Identity and Diaspora" in 1990 (included in 1990 in *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, edited by Jonathan Rutheford) that diasporic identity involves "the recognition of a necessary heterogeneity and diversity; by a conception of identity which lives with and through, not despite, difference."

Subhash's identity as a Bengali-American is marked by precisely the kind of ongoing, unresolved negotiation that Hall describes. He is never fully assimilated into American life - his commitment to Bela, his continued correspondence with his parents, his eventual return to Calcutta, all mark him as a figure who maintains significant connections to his place of origin. But he is equally unable to return to an Indian identity that has been irrevocably altered by his experience of America, by his marriage to Gauri, and by the history that forced him to leave.

Bela's identity is even more complex: she is an American-born woman of Bengali parentage, raised in the United States, who discovers in middle age that her biological father was an Indian revolutionary executed before her birth. Her identity cannot be organised around any stable conception of national or cultural origin; it is, in Hall's terms, a diasporic identity produced through the negotiation of multiple, conflicting histories and inheritances. Her choice to work as a sustainable farmer - to put down roots in the literal, physical sense - is the novel's most suggestive image of a diasporic subject's attempt to ground identity in practice and place rather than in origin and narrative.

## VII. Psychoanalytic Frameworks: Mourning, Melancholia, and the Unburied Dead

### 7.1 Freud and the Work of Mourning

Sigmund Freud's distinction in 'Mourning and Melancholia' (first published in 1917, later included in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Work of Sigmund Freud* in 1953-1974) between normal grief and pathological melancholia provides a productive framework for analysing the novel's central psychological dynamics. For Freud, successful mourning involves the gradual withdrawal of emotional investment from the lost object, allowing the mourner to eventually form new attachments and re-engage with life. Melancholia, by contrast, is mourning that cannot complete itself - an inability to relinquish the lost object that results in its internalisation as a part of the self.

The Mitra family's response to Udayan's death is overwhelmingly melancholic in Freud's terms. His parents - Bijoli and Dilip - cannot complete the work of mourning. Bijoli in particular is a figure of pure, immobilised grief: she cannot forgive herself for having watched her son's execution without intervening, and she cannot relinquish her attachment to Udayan sufficiently to re-engage with the life that remains to her. Her eventual retreat from Subhash, her withdrawal into a private world of memory and self-reproach, is the novel's most sustained portrait of melancholia - of mourning that has turned inward and become a form of living death.

Gauri's relationship to Udayan's death is more complicated than simple melancholia, but it is equally resistant to successful mourning. She cannot grieve Udayan straightforwardly because her grief is contaminated by anger - at his political choices, at his willingness to risk everything without considering the consequences for her, and at the child growing inside her that is simultaneously the most intimate reminder of their love and the most concrete consequence of his death. Her flight from Subhash and Bela is, in part, a flight from the impossibility of mourning in a domestic space that is saturated with Udayan's absence.

## 7.2 Nicolas Abraham and the Phantom

Nicolas Abraham's psychoanalytic concept of the "phantom" - developed in his essay "Notes on the Phantom: A Complement to Freud's Metapsychology" (published in 1975, later included in *The Shell and the Kernel: Renewals of Psychoanalysis* between 1994 and 1998) - extends Freud's framework in ways that are particularly relevant to *The Lowland's* treatment of intergenerational transmission. Abraham argues that when a person cannot complete the work of mourning, the unprocessed grief can be transmitted to the next generation, where it manifests as a 'phantom' - a presence that haunts the psychic life of those who never directly experienced the original loss but who nonetheless carry its traces.

Bela is, in Abraham's terms, haunted by the phantom of Udayan. She does not know that he existed; she has been told that Subhash is her biological father. Yet the phantom of Udayan - the unprocessed grief and guilt of her mother, the carefully maintained silence of her father - shapes her psychic life in ways that she can feel but cannot identify. Her restlessness, her inability to sustain intimate relationships, her compulsive mobility - these are symptoms of a phantom haunting, the psychic residue of a trauma that was not hers but that has been transmitted to her through the silences and evasions of her parents' lives.

## VIII. Narratology and the Ethics of Form

### 8.1 Temporal Structure and the Politics of Retrospection

The *Lowland's* temporal structure is among its most sophisticated formal achievements. Lahiri does not narrate events in chronological order; she moves between past and present, between Calcutta in the 1960s and Rhode Island in the 1990s and 2000s, in a way that formally enacts the novel's central concern with the persistence of the past in the present. The narrative's temporal dislocations are not merely stylistic; they are epistemological - they dramatise the impossibility of understanding the present without continually returning to a past that has not been adequately processed.

G rard Genette's narratological framework in *Narrative Discourse: An Essay in Method* (1972) - particularly his concepts of analepsis (flashback) and prolepsis (flash-forward) - is useful for describing the technical strategies Lahiri employs. But a more politically charged analysis of the novel's temporal structure draws on Walter Benjamin's conception of historical time. Benjamin argued, against the progressive, linear conception of history as development and improvement, that the past is not over and done with but persists in the present as a suppressed potential - that the angel of history moves forward while looking back at the wreckage that progress leaves behind.

Lahiri's narrative is Benjaminian in its temporal logic. The novel insists that Udayan's death in 1971 is not a completed event in the past but a continuing presence in the lives of everyone it touched. The narrative's movement back and forth across time dramatises this insistence: the present cannot be understood without the past, and the past cannot be laid to rest because it continues to determine the present. The lowland - the site of the execution - is the novel's image of this Benjaminian temporal logic: a space where past and present coexist in permanent, unresolved tension.

### 8.2 Point of View and the Dispersal of Moral Authority

One of the novel's most important formal choices is its dispersal of narrative perspective among multiple characters. *The Lowland* is told in close third-person, with the focal character shifting between Subhash, Gauri, Udayan, Bela, and even - in one extraordinary late chapter - Bijoli,

Udayan's mother. This multiplicity of perspectives is not merely a technical device; it is an ethical and political choice.

By refusing to privilege any single perspective, Lahiri refuses to render a definitive moral judgement on the events she narrates. Each character's perspective is rendered with equal sympathy and equal critical distance; each has access to truths that the others cannot see, and each is limited by blindnesses that the others can perceive. The effect is what Mikhail Bakhtin in his theoretical work, *Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics* (1963) would call a polyphonic narrative - a narrative in which no single voice has the authority to adjudicate between competing perspectives, and in which the reader is left to hold multiple, incompatible truths simultaneously.

This polyphony is especially significant in the novel's treatment of Gauri. Her perspective - her reasons for abandoning Bela, her understanding of her own choices, her experience of philosophical work as a form of survival - is rendered with a sympathy that does not exculpate but that demands comprehension. The reader who approaches Gauri's chapters with the moral framework established by Subhash's and Bela's perspectives will find that framework challenged and complicated. This is the novel's most powerful ethical gesture: its insistence that the most painful moral failures are those that cannot be fully judged from any single position.

## IX. The Ethics of Witnessing and the Literature of Political Violence

### 9.1 Shoshana Felman and the Crisis of Witnessing

Shoshana Felman and Dori Laub's work on testimony and witnessing - particularly Felman's argument in *Testimony: Crises of Witnessing in Literature, Psychoanalysis, and History* (1992) that literary testimony performs a form of witnessing that is distinct from but related to legal and historical testimony - is directly relevant to *The Lowland's* project of literary historical recovery.

Felman argues that the literary witness does not simply record events but participates in a crisis of witnessing - a confrontation with events that resist representation and that require the witness to develop new forms of narration adequate to the extremity of what has occurred. The Naxalite period poses precisely this challenge: it is a period whose violence and its aftermath have been imperfectly documented, whose victims have been incompletely acknowledged, and whose survivors have often been unable to speak publicly about what they experienced.

Lahiri's novel functions as a form of literary testimony to this history. *The Lowland* does not claim the authority of the direct witness - Lahiri was not present at these events, and she makes no such claim. What it offers instead is the testimony of the imaginative witness: a reconstruction of the experience of political violence and its aftermath that, by its very existence in literary form, insists that these events deserve to be narrated, that they cannot be allowed to sink into the lowland of historical forgetting.

### 9.2 The Novel as Ethical Space

Martha Nussbaum's argument in *Poetic Justice: The Literary Imagination and Public Life* (1995) that literature cultivates the "narrative imagination" - the capacity to understand others' experience from the inside, to inhabit perspectives that are not one's own - has direct implications for *The Lowland's* ethical project. Nussbaum argues that this narrative imagination is not merely a literary capacity but a political and moral necessity: it is what allows citizens to extend genuine compassion and understanding to those whose lives differ

from their own.

*The Lowland* is a sustained exercise in the cultivation of narrative imagination. By rendering the perspectives of characters as different as a young Bengali revolutionary, his traumatised widow, his careful and loving brother, and their abandoned daughter - by insisting that each of these perspectives contains truths that the others cannot accommodate - Lahiri demands of the reader an extraordinary act of imaginative sympathy. This demand is not comfortable: the novel offers no resolution, no synthesis of competing perspectives, no redemptive arc that resolves the tensions it has opened. What it offers instead is the more demanding ethical experience of genuine understanding without the consolation of judgement.

## **X. The Lowland as Palimpsest: Landscape, Symbolism, and Memory**

The novel's central image - the lowland itself, the marshy field between Subhash and Udayan's childhood ponds - is one of the most richly layered symbolic constructions in contemporary South Asian fiction. It functions simultaneously as a specific geographical place, as a symbol of revolutionary idealism and its violent suppression, as the site of irreversible family trauma, and as a metaphor for the psychic condition of those who survive catastrophe.

The lowland is a liminal space: neither fully land nor fully water, neither fully public nor fully private, neither fully present (the brothers play there as children) nor fully past (it is the site of Udayan's execution). Its liminality makes it the perfect spatial correlative for the novel's central concerns: trauma, which exists in the borderland between past and present; diaspora, which occupies the space between origin and destination; and memory, which inhabits the uncertain territory between what was and what is.

The draining and development of the lowland - its transformation, in the novel's later sections, from a waterlogged field into developed real estate - is one of the text's most pointed political gestures. The filling in of the lowland is the erasure of a site of revolutionary violence and family trauma by the forces of urban development and real estate capitalism. The market absorbs the site of the revolution, building apartments and shops on the ground where a young man was executed by the state. This development is neither simply a tragedy nor a simple satire: it is a precise observation of how historical memory is managed by the forces of capital, which have no use for the inconvenient ghosts that inhabit sites of political violence.

In the final sections of the novel, Subhash returns to Calcutta and confronts the changed landscape of his childhood. The lowland is gone; the ponds remain, but the field between them has been absorbed into the city's expanding footprint. This confrontation with the transformed geography of memory - with the physical evidence of how thoroughly the present has covered over the past - is one of the novel's most moving and most politically resonant moments. It is the image of the stained ground that gives this paper its title: ground that has been washed over, built upon, and apparently cleansed, but that retains, for those who know what happened there, an indelible mark.

## **XI. Conclusion: Living with the Stain**

*The Lowland* does not offer its readers resolution. It does not suggest that trauma can be healed, that political violence can be justified or fully condemned, that the wounds inflicted by history on the individuals who live through it can be cleanly sutured. What it offers instead is something more demanding and more honest: a sustained engagement with the way in which history - and specifically the history of revolutionary violence - shapes and deforms the lives of those who are caught in its wake, across generations and across continents.

The critical theories applied in this paper - trauma theory, postmemory studies, Marxist political philosophy, feminist criticism, postcolonial theory, psychoanalytic frameworks, narratology, and the ethics of witnessing - are not simply analytical tools brought to bear on an inert text. They are, each of them, responses to the same underlying questions that Lahiri's novel poses: What do we owe to those who die for political causes? What do those causes owe to those who survive? How does violence - state violence, revolutionary violence, the slow violence of poverty and exclusion - transmit itself across time and space, embedding itself in the bodies and psyches and relationships of those who were not present at its original occurrence?

Jhumpa Lahiri's achievement in *The Lowland* is to hold all of these questions open, to refuse the comfort of easy answers, and to insist on the full, intractable complexity of the lives she narrates. The brothers who played as children in the waterlogged field in Tollygunge, the woman who survived what she could not fully mourn, the daughter who grew up in the shadow of a history she did not know - these are not allegorical figures or political symbols. They are, in the fullest sense that fiction can render, human beings: limited, damaged, capable of love and of failure, making choices under conditions they did not choose, living in the aftermath of a world that was made before they arrived in it.

The stained ground of Lahiri's title is not simply the lowland of Tollygunge. It is the ground of history itself - the soil of every place where violence has been committed in the name of justice, and where the lives of ordinary people have been altered beyond recovery by political forces they could neither fully understand nor adequately resist. To read *The Lowland* is to stand on that ground and to feel, however partially and however safely, the weight of what it holds.

### References

- Abraham, N. (1987). Notes on the phantom: A complement to Freud's metapsychology. *Critical Inquiry*, 13(2), 287–292.
- Arendt, H. (1970). *On violence*. Harcourt, Brace and World.
- Bhabha, H. K. (Ed.). (1990). *Nation and narration*. Routledge.
- . (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays* (M. Holquist, Ed.; C. Emerson & M. Holquist, Trans.). University of Texas Press.
- Benjamin, W. (1969). Theses on the philosophy of history. In H. Arendt (Ed.), *Illuminations: Essays and reflections* (H. Zohn, Trans., pp. 253–264). Schocken Books.
- Chatterji, J. (2007). *The spoils of partition: Bengal and India, 1947–1967*. Cambridge University Press.
- Caruth, C. (1996). *Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Connerton, P. (1989). *How societies remember*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dasgupta, B. (1974). *The Naxalite movement*. Allied Publishers.
- de Beauvoir, S. (2011). *The second sex* (C. Borde & S. Malovany-Chevallier, Trans.). Vintage Books.
- Fanon, F. (1963). *The wretched of the earth* (C. Farrington, Trans.). Grove Press.
- Felman, S., & Laub, D. (1992). *Testimony: Crises of witnessing in literature, psychoanalysis, and history*. Routledge.

**Stained Calcutta: Revolutionary Violence, Memory, and the Weight of History in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Lowland***

- Freud, S. (1957). Mourning and melancholia. In *The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud* (J. Strachey, Trans., Vol. 14, pp. 243–258). Hogarth Press.
- Genette, G. (1980). *Narrative discourse: An essay in method* (J. E. Lewin, Trans.). Cornell University Press.
- Greenblatt, S. (1988). *Shakespearean negotiations: The circulation of social energy in Renaissance England*. University of California Press.
- Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), *Identity: Community, culture, difference* (pp. 222–237). Lawrence & Wishart.
- Hirsch, M. (2012). *The generation of postmemory: Writing and visual culture after the Holocaust*. Columbia University Press.
- Lahiri, J. (2013). *The lowland*. Alfred A. Knopf.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (1995). *Poetic justice: The literary imagination and public life*. Beacon Press.
- Rich, A. (1976). *Of woman born: Motherhood as experience and institution*. W. W. Norton.
- Sen, S. (1979). *Agrarian relations in India*. People's Publishing House.
- Sarkar, S. (1983). *Modern India 1885–1947*. Macmillan India.
- Williams, R. (1977). *Marxism and literature*. Oxford University Press.

**Bio-note**

**Mahananda Biswas** is a doctoral research scholar in English at the Department of English and Foreign Languages, Central University of Haryana, Mahendragarh, Haryana, India with a deep academic focus on the postcolonial novels (written in original English language) that deals with the city of Calcutta/Kolkata. He has qualified for Junior Research Fellowship (JRF) and eligibility for Assistant Professor in the National Eligibility Test (UGC-NET) conducted by University Grants Commission. He has qualified State Eligibility Test (SET) in English as well as Central Teacher Eligibility Test (CTET) and State Teacher Eligibility Test. He taught English as a guest faculty for several years at Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India. He is a former student of University of Calcutta. His research area explores the representation of Calcutta in postcolonial English novels, examining how the city functions as more than a mere geographical backdrop. Calcutta - with its layered colonial history, its teeming subaltern life, and its unresolved tensions between tradition and modernity - emerges in postcolonial fiction as a dynamic site of memory, identity, and resistance. His study investigates how literary representations of Calcutta negotiate the legacies of British imperialism, partition, urban poverty, and cultural hybridity, ultimately revealing the city as a living palimpsest of postcolonial experience.

**Email Id:** mahananda.mb@gmail.com

